

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,396	11/12/2003	Sandeep Betarbet	190250-1630	6854
38823 AT&T Legal I	7590 11/17/2008 Department	EXAMINER		
Attn: Patent D	ocketing	LAI, MICHAEL C		
One AT&T W Room 2A-207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bedminster, N	J 07921	2457		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/706,396	BETARBET, SANDEEP		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MICHAEL C. LAI	2457		

	MICHAEL C. LAI	2457						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CF4 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW. 								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FIT	ED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding enternal of a fine file. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reju	otou danno.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
	5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven that the proposed amendment is a provinced. 		be entered and an e	planation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.								
Claim(s) objected to: none.								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 3. Other:								
	/Yves Dalencourt/							
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2457						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments, see page 10, with respect to claim 1, is not persuasive. Walhquist describes a database manager computer 20 (equivalent to a script script receives the case file and script file from the help representative R (equivalent to a remote terminal). The database manager computer 20 schedules the job for communications, which are controlled by the link manager computer in the database manager computer 20 schedules the job for communications, which are controlled by the link manager computer 30 (equivalent to an originating file transfer server). The link manager computer 30 calls the user's computer 70 (equivalent to a terminating file transfer server) to transfer the files (see column 5, lines 37-46). As such, Walhquist's teaching meets the limitation of "a script server monitoring for incoming scripts and files for membet terminate, receiving a file and a script associated with the file from at least one remote terminat, in response to receiving the file and a script, interpreting the script, and the script and the file from the script server removing the script and the file from the script server and transferring the file to a terminating file transfer server rice accordance with the script, "as recited in claim 1. Claims 14 and 27 are of the same scooe as claim 1. They are relected for the same reason.